IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 15111 of 2004

Between:

Ch. Savithri Devi, Hindu, W/o Ramakrishna Murthy,
R/o Ambativaripet, Pasuvurlanka, I. Polavaram (M). E.G. District - 533 220.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police, Kakinada, East Godavari District.
2 The District Collector, Kakinada, East Godavari District.
3 Dhulipudi Chakram, So. Not known, major, R/o Ambativaripet, Pasuvurlanka, I. Polavaram (M), East Godavari District - 533 220.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the inaction of the 1 and 2 Respondents and acting under the instructions of the unofficial respondent herein initiating the process of investigation to enquire all the charges/contents of complaints/petitions as illegal, arbitrary , being violation of Articles 14, 15 , 21 and 300-A of the constitution of India and consequently direct the 1 and 2 Respondents to commence the process of investigation under section 2 (h) and Chapter XII of Cr.P.C. as well as under Chapter II of section 3 of the S.C. S.T (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and to call for all the records pertaining to the investigation, which has been made so far,

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR. RAMANA ALLU, not present

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2 : GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the 3rd respondent : None appeared

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO.15111 OF 2004

-

ORAL ORDER :

This writ petition, which was filed on 23-08-2004, came up for admission on 25-08-2004. On that date, since none appeared for the petitioner, the matter was again listed on 22-09-2004. On that date also, there was no representation for the petitioner. Even today when the matter is called, none appeared for the petitioner. Obviously, the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

03rd November, 2004.

Gbs

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, Kakinada, East Godavari District.
2 The District Collector, Kakinada, East Godavari District.
3.2CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of AP Bldgs, Hyderabad (OUT).

4.2CD copies